arXiv:1603.08398v1 [math.CO] 28 Mar 2016

ON THE STABILISERS OF LOCALLY 2-TRANSITIVE GRAPHS

SHU JIAO SONG

Abstract. For a connected locally (G,s)-arc-transitive graph Γ with $s \geqslant 2$ and an edge $\{v,w\}$, determining the amalgam (G_v, G_w, G_{vw}) is a fundamental problem in the area of symmetrical graph theory, but it is very difficult. In this paper, we give a classification of (G_v, G_w, G_{vw}) in the case where the vertex stabilisers G_v and G_w are faithful on their neighbourhoods, which shows that except for the case $G_v \cong G_w$, there are exactly 16 such triples.

1. Introduction

Let $\Gamma = (V, E)$ be a connected undirected simple graph. An *s-arc* of Γ is an $(s+1)$-tuple $(v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_s)$ of vertices such that $\{v_{i-1}, v_i\} \in E$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$ and $v_{i-1} \neq v_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq s-1$. For a group $G \leq \mathsf{Aut}\Gamma$, Γ is called *locally (G,s)-arc-transitive* if, for any vertex $v \in V$, the vertex stabiliser G_v acts transitively on the set of t-arcs starting at v, for any t with $1 \leqslant t \leqslant s$. A locally (G,s)-arc transitive graph is called *(G,s)-arc transitive* if G is also transitive on the vertex set. Let $\Gamma(v)$ be the set of vertices adjacent to v, and $G_v^{\Gamma(v)}$ the permutation group on $\Gamma(v)$ induced by G_v.

For a connected locally (G,s)-arc-transitive graph Γ and an edge $\{v,w\}$, the triple (G_v, G_w, G_{vw}) is called the *amalgam* of G, and also of Γ. A fundamental problem for studying locally s-arc-transitive graphs is to determine their amalgams. van Bon [3] proved that if $G_{vw}^{[1]} = 1$ then Γ is not locally (G,s)-arc-transitive. Potocnik [12] determined the amalgams for valency $\{3,4\}$ in the case where $G_{vw}^{[1]} = 1$. This paper is one of a series of papers which aim to classify the amalgams (G_v, G_w, G_{vw}) with trivial edge kernel.

Assume that Γ is locally (G,s)-arc-transitive with $s \geq 2$. For a vertex v of Γ, denote by $G_v^{[1]}$ the kernel of G_v acting on $\Gamma(v)$. Then $G_v^{\Gamma(v)} \cong G_v/G_v^{[1]}$, and $G_v^{\Gamma(v)}$ is a 2-transitive permutation group. As usual, denote by $X^{(\infty)}$ the smallest normal subgroup of X such that $X/X^{(\infty)}$ is soluble. Let $\mathsf{rad}(H)$ be the soluble radical of a group H, that is, the largest soluble normal subgroup of H.

Here we generalise a classical result for primitive permutation groups, see [15, Section 18], which shows that some information of (G_v, G_w, G_{vw}) can be obtained from the permutation groups $G_v^{\Gamma(v)}$ and $G_w^{\Gamma(w)}$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let Γ be a connected G-edge-transitive graph, where $G \leqslant \mathsf{Aut}\Gamma$. Then, for an edge $\{v,w\}$ of Γ, the following statements hold.*

(1) *Each composition factor of G_v is a composition factor of $G_v^{\Gamma(v)}$, $G_{vw}^{\Gamma(w)}$ or $G_{vw}^{\Gamma(v)}$.*
(2) *Each composition factor of G_{vw} is a composition factor of $G_{vw}^{\Gamma(w)}$ or $G_{vw}^{\Gamma(v)}$.*

Date: March 29, 2016.
2010 Mathematics subject classification: 05E18, 20B25.
This work forms a part of an ARC grant project.

Theorem 1.2. *Let Γ be a connected locally $(G,2)$-arc-transitive graph, and let $\{v,w\}$ be an edge of Γ. Assume that $G_v^{[1]} = G_w^{[1]} = 1$. Then either $G_v \cong G_w$ and Γ is regular, or (G_v, G_w, G_{vw}) is one of the sixteen triples listed in Table 1.*

	G_v	G_w	G_{vw}	$\lvert\Gamma(v)\rvert$	$\lvert\Gamma(w)\rvert$	$G_{w_{-1}vw}$	G_{vwv_1}
1	$3^2{:}\mathrm{SL}_2(3)$	$5^2{:}\mathrm{SL}_2(3)$	$\mathrm{SL}_2(3)$	3^2	5^2	$\mathbb{Z}_3$	1
2	$3^2{:}\mathrm{GL}_2(3)$	$5^2{:}\mathrm{GL}_2(3)$	$\mathrm{GL}_2(3)$	3^2	5^2	S_3	$\mathbb{Z}_2$
3	$3^2{:}\mathrm{GL}_2(3)$	$7^2{:}\mathrm{GL}_2(3)$	$\mathrm{GL}_2(3)$	3^2	7^2	S_3	1
4	$5^2{:}\mathrm{GL}_2(3)$	$7^2{:}\mathrm{GL}_2(3)$	$\mathrm{GL}_2(3)$	5^2	7^2	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	1
5	A_6	$\mathrm{PSL}_2(11)$	A_5	6	11	A_4	D_6
6	$\mathrm{PSL}_2(11)$	$2^4{:}\mathrm{A}_5$	A_5	11	16	D_6	$\mathbb{Z}_2^2$
7	A_6	$2^4.\mathrm{A}_5$	A_5	6	16	A_4	$\mathbb{Z}_2^2$
8	S_6	$2^4.\mathrm{S}_5$	S_5	6	16	S_4	D_8
9	A_7	$2^4.\mathrm{A}_6$	A_6	7	16	A_5	S_4
10	S_7	$2^4.\mathrm{S}_6$	S_6	7	16	S_5	$2 \times \mathrm{S}_4$
11	A_8	$2^4.\mathrm{A}_7$	A_7	8	16	A_6	$\mathrm{PSL}_2(7)$
12	A_9	$2^4.\mathrm{A}_8$	A_8	9	16	A_7	$2^3{:}\mathrm{GL}_3(2)$
13	S_9	$\mathrm{Sp}_6(2)$	S_8	9	36	S_7	$(\mathrm{S}_4 \times \mathrm{S}_4).2$
14	A_7	$2^3{:}\mathrm{GL}_3(2)$	$\mathrm{PSL}_2(7)$	15	8	A_4	S_4
15	$5^2{:}\mathrm{SL}_2(5)$	$11^2{:}\mathrm{SL}_2(5)$	$\mathrm{SL}_2(5)$	5^2	11^2	$\mathbb{Z}_5$	1
16	$13^2{:}\mathrm{SL}_2(13)$	$3^6{:}\mathrm{SL}_2(13)$	$\mathrm{SL}_2(13)$	13^2	3^6	$\mathbb{Z}_{13}$	$\mathbb{Z}_3$

Table 1

Corollary 1.3. *Let P and Q be two 2-transitive permutation groups which are not isomorphic but their stabilisers are isomorphic to the same group H. Then (P,Q,H) is one of the triples (G_v, G_w, G_{uw}) in Table 1.*

For locally 3-arc-transitive graphs, there are only six of such amalgams.

Corollary 1.4. *Let Γ be a connected locally $(G,3)$-arc-transitive, and let $\{v,w\}$ be an edge of Γ. Assume that $G_v^{[1]} = G_w^{[1]} = 1$. Then (G_v, G_w, G_{vw}) is one of the following triples: $(\mathrm{A}_7, \mathrm{A}_7, \mathrm{A}_6)$, $(\mathrm{S}_7, \mathrm{S}_7, \mathrm{S}_6)$, $(\mathrm{A}_7, 2^4{:}\mathrm{A}_6, \mathrm{A}_6)$, $(\mathrm{S}_7, 2^4{:}\mathrm{S}_6, \mathrm{S}_6)$, $(\mathrm{A}_8, 2^4{:}\mathrm{A}_7, \mathrm{A}_7)$, $(\mathrm{A}_9, 2^4{:}\mathrm{A}_8, \mathrm{A}_8)$, or $(\mathrm{S}_9, \mathrm{Sp}_6(2), \mathrm{S}_8)$.*

2. Examples

Let H be a 2-transitive permutation group of degree n, and let p be a prime which is coprime to the order $|H|$. Let $V = \mathbb{F}_p^n$ be the permutation module of H over $\mathbb{F}_p$. Then $H \leqslant \mathrm{GL}_n(p)$. Let σ be the unique involution in $\mathbf{Z}(\mathrm{GL}_n(p))$. Then σ reverses every vector in V, and $\langle \sigma, H\rangle = \langle\sigma\rangle \times H$ since σ centralizes H and H is a 2-transitive permutation groups. Define a group .

$$X = \mathbb{Z}_p^n{:}(\langle\sigma\rangle \times H).$$

Let $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n$ be a basis for $V = \mathbb{Z}_p^n$. Then $a_i^\sigma = a_i$, and H is 2-transitive on the basis. Let

$$g = a_1\sigma.$$

Then g is an involution. Let

$$S = \{a_1\sigma, a_2\sigma, \ldots, a_n\sigma\},$$

and let $R = \langle S \rangle$. Then $(a_i\sigma)(a_j\sigma) = a_i a_j \in R$, and H acts 2-transitively on S. Now H induces a subgroup of $\mathsf{Aut}(R)$. Let $\Gamma = \mathsf{Cay}(R, S)$, and

$$G = R{:}H.$$

Thus Γ is a $(G, 2)$-arc-transitive Cayley graph of R with vertex stabiliser H.

Proposition 2.1. *Any 2-transitive permutation group is the vertex stabiliser of 2-arc-transitive graph.*

Example 2.2. Let (G_v, G_w, G_{vw}) be one of the triples listed in Table 1 except for rows 5, 6, 10, 12 and 13. Then there exists a group G such that $G = G_v G_w$, and thus there is a complete bipartite graph $\Gamma = \mathsf{K}_{m,n}$ which is locally $(G, 2)$-arc-transitive. These examples given in the next table.

G	G_v	G_w	G_{vw}	Γ
$(3^2 \times 5^2){:}\mathrm{SL}_2(3)$	$3^2{:}\mathrm{SL}_2(3)$	$5^2{:}\mathrm{SL}_2(3)$	$\mathrm{SL}_2(3)$	$\mathsf{K}_{3^2,5^2}$
$(3^2 \times 5^2){:}\mathrm{GL}_2(3)$	$3^2{:}\mathrm{GL}_2(3)$	$5^2{:}\mathrm{GL}_2(3)$	$\mathrm{GL}_2(3)$	$\mathsf{K}_{3^2,5^2}$
$(3^2 \times 7^2){:}\mathrm{GL}_2(3)$	$3^2{:}\mathrm{GL}_2(3)$	$7^2{:}\mathrm{GL}_2(3)$	$\mathrm{GL}_2(3)$	$\mathsf{K}_{3^2,7^2}$
$(5^2 \times 7^2){:}\mathrm{GL}_2(3)$	$5^2{:}\mathrm{GL}_2(3)$	$7^2{:}\mathrm{GL}_2(3)$	$\mathrm{GL}_2(3)$	$\mathsf{K}_{5^2,7^2}$
$2^4{:}\mathrm{A}_6$	A_6	$2^4.\mathrm{A}_5$	A_5	$\mathsf{K}_{6,2^4}$
$2^4{:}\mathrm{S}_6$	S_6	$2^4.\mathrm{S}_5$	S_5	$\mathsf{K}_{6,2^4}$
$2^4{:}\mathrm{A}_7$	A_7	$2^4.\mathrm{A}_6$	A_6	$\mathsf{K}_{7,2^4}$
$2^4{:}\mathrm{A}_8$	A_8	$2^4.\mathrm{A}_7$	A_7	$\mathsf{K}_{8,2^4}$
A_8	A_7	$2^3{:}\mathrm{GL}_3(2)$	$\mathrm{GL}_3(2)$	$\mathsf{K}_{7,15}$
$(5^2 \times 11^2){:}\mathrm{SL}_2(5)$	$5^2{:}\mathrm{SL}_2(5)$	$11^2{:}\mathrm{SL}_2(5)$	$\mathrm{SL}_2(5)$	$\mathsf{K}_{5^2,11^2}$
$(13^2 \times 3^6){:}\mathrm{SL}_2(13)$	$13^2{:}\mathrm{SL}_2(13)$	$3^6{:}\mathrm{SL}_2(13)$	$\mathrm{SL}_2(13)$	$\mathsf{K}_{13^2,3^6}$

Problem. Construct explicit examples of graphs with the amalgams in row 5, 6, 10, 12, or 13 in Table 1.

Example 2.3. It is well-known that the triple $(\mathrm{A}_7, \mathrm{A}_7, \mathrm{A}_6)$ is the amalgam for both the complete graph K_8 and Hoffman-Singleton graph. The former is 2-arc-transitive but not 3-arc-transitive, but the latter is 3-arc-transitive. The same phenomena appears for $(\mathrm{S}_7, \mathrm{S}_7, \mathrm{S}_6)$.

3. Composition factors of the stabilisers

Let $\Gamma = (V, E)$ be a connected G-edge-transitive bipartite graph with biparts U and W. For vertices $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_\ell$ of Γ, let

$$G^{[1]}_{v_0 v_1 \ldots v_\ell} = G^{[1]}_{v_0} \cap G^{[1]}_{v_1} \cap \cdots \cap G^{[1]}_{v_\ell}.$$

Then, for an edge $\{v, w\}$, the group $G^{[1]}_{vw}$ is the point-wise stabiliser of the subset of vertices $\Gamma(v) \cup \Gamma(w)$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_\ell$ be an ℓ-arc, where ℓ is a positive integer. Then we have a chain of normal subgroups:*

$$G^{[1]}_{v_0 v_1 \ldots v_\ell} \lhd G^{[1]}_{v_0 v_1 \ldots v_{\ell-1}} \lhd \cdots \lhd G^{[1]}_{v_0 v_1} \lhd G^{[1]}_{v_0} \lhd G_{v_0}.$$

Proof. For each integer i with $0 \leqslant i \leqslant \ell$, the group $G^{[1]}_{v_0v_1\ldots v_i}$ is the kernel of $G_{v_0v_1\ldots v_i}$ acting on $\Gamma(v_0) \cup \Gamma(v_1) \cup \cdots \cup \Gamma(v_i)$, and hence

$$G^{[1]}_{v_0v_1\ldots v_i} \lhd G_{v_0v_1\ldots v_i}.$$

For $i \geqslant 1$, we have the inclusion relation $G^{[1]}_{v_0v_1\ldots v_i} \leqslant G^{[1]}_{v_0v_1\ldots v_{i-1}} \leqslant G_{v_0v_1\ldots v_i}$, and we thus conclude that $G^{[1]}_{v_0v_1\ldots v_i} \lhd G^{[1]}_{v_0v_1\ldots v_{i-1}}$. This holds for all possible values of i with $1 \leqslant i \leqslant \ell$, giving the chain of normal subgroups as claimed. □

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\{v, w\}$ be an edge, and $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_\ell$ be an ℓ-arc, where ℓ is a positive integer. Then for $0 \leqslant i \leqslant \ell - 1$, the factor group $G^{[1]}_{v_0v_1\ldots v_i}/G^{[1]}_{v_0v_1\ldots v_{i+1}}$ is isomorphic to a subnormal subgroup of $G^{\Gamma(v)}_{vw}$ or $G^{\Gamma(w)}_{vw}$.*

Proof. Observing that $G^{[1]}_{v_0v_1\ldots v_i} \lhd \lhd G^{[1]}_{v_i} \lhd G_{v_iv_{i+1}}$, we obtain

$$G^{[1]}_{v_0v_1\ldots v_i}/G^{[1]}_{v_0v_1\ldots v_{i+1}} \cong (G^{[1]}_{v_0v_1\ldots v_i})^{\Gamma(v_{i+1})} \lhd \lhd G^{\Gamma(v_{i+1})}_{v_iv_{i+1}}.$$

Since G is edge-transitive on Γ, we have $G_{v_iv_{i+1}} \cong G_{vw}$, and so

$$G^{\Gamma(v_{i+1})}_{v_iv_{i+1}} \cong G^{\Gamma(w)}_{vw} \text{ or } G^{\Gamma(v)}_{vw}.$$

Therefore, $G^{[1]}_{v_0v_1\ldots v_i}/G^{[1]}_{v_0v_1\ldots v_{i+1}}$ is isomorphic to a subnormal subgroup of $G^{\Gamma(v)}_{vw}$ or $G^{\Gamma(w)}_{vw}$. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1: First of all, we claim that a composition factor of $G^{[1]}_v$ is isomorphic to a composition factor of $G^{\Gamma(v)}_{vw}$ or $G^{\Gamma(w)}_{vw}$. Let T be a composition factor of $G^{[1]}_v$. Since $G \leqslant \mathsf{Aut}\Gamma$ and Γ is finite and connected, there exists a path $v_0 = v, v_1, \ldots, v_\ell$ such that $G^{[1]}_{v_0v_1\ldots v_\ell} = 1$. By Lemma 3.1, we have a chain of normal subgroups:

$$1 = G^{[1]}_{v_0v_1\ldots v_\ell} \lhd G^{[1]}_{v_0v_1\ldots v_{\ell-1}} \lhd \cdots \lhd G^{[1]}_{v_0v_1} \lhd G^{[1]}_{v_0} = G^{[1]}_v.$$

Let i be the largest integer with $0 \leqslant i \leqslant \ell$ such that T is a composition factor of $G^{[1]}_{v_0v_1\ldots v_i}$. Then T is not a composition factor of $G^{[1]}_{v_0v_1\ldots v_{i+1}}$. Hence T is a composition factor of the quotient group $G^{[1]}_{v_0v_1\ldots v_i}/G^{[1]}_{v_0v_1\ldots v_{i+1}}$. By Lemma 3.2, $G^{[1]}_{v_0v_1\ldots v_i}/G^{[1]}_{v_0v_1\ldots v_{i+1}}$ is isomorphic to a subnormal subgroup of $G^{\Gamma(w)}_{vw}$ or $G^{\Gamma(v)}_{vw}$, and so T is a composition factor of $G^{\Gamma(w)}_{vw}$ or $G^{\Gamma(v)}_{vw}$ as we claimed.

Now let T_1 be a composition factor of G_v. If T_1 is a composition factor of $G_v/G^{[1]}_v \cong G^{\Gamma(v)}_v$, then we are done. We thus assume that T_1 is not a composition factor of $G^{\Gamma(v)}_v \cong G_v/G^{[1]}_v$. Then T_1 is a composition factor of $G^{[1]}_v$, and so T_1 is a composition factor of $G^{\Gamma(w)}_{vw}$ or $G^{\Gamma(v)}_{vw}$. This proves part (i).

Similarly, let T_2 be a composition factor of G_{vw}. If T_2 is a composition factor of $G_{vw}/G^{[1]}_v \cong G^{\Gamma(v)}_{vw}$, then we are done. Now we assume that T_2 is a composition factor of $G^{[1]}_v$, and so T_1 is a composition factor of $G^{\Gamma(w)}_{vw}$ or $G^{\Gamma(v)}_{vw}$. Thus part (ii) of Theorem 1.1 hold.

Corollary 3.3. *Let Γ be a connected graph, and let $G \leq \mathsf{Aut}\Gamma$ be transitive on the edge set. Let $\{v, w\}$ be an edge of Γ. Then the following statements hold:*

(i) *The vertex stabiliser G_v is soluble if and only if $G^{\Gamma(v)}_v$ and $G^{\Gamma(w)}_{vw}$ are both soluble.*
(ii) *G_{vw} is soluble if and only if $G^{\Gamma(v)}_{vw}$ and $G^{\Gamma(w)}_{vw}$ are both soluble; in particular.*
(iii) *G_v, G_w are both soluble if and only if $G^{\Gamma(v)}_v$ and $G^{\Gamma(w)}_w$ are both soluble.*

(iv) *$G_v^{[1]}$ and $G_w^{[1]}$ are both soluble if and only if $(G_v^{[1]})^{\Gamma(w)}$ and $(G_w^{[1]})^{\Gamma(v)}$ are both soluble.*

Proof. Part (i), and part (ii) follow from Theorem 1.1 (1), and (2), respectively.

For part (iii), it is obvious that if G_v, G_w are both soluble then$G_v^{\Gamma(v)}$ and $G_w^{\Gamma(w)}$ are both soluble. So it is sufficient to show if both $G_v^{\Gamma(v)}$ and $G_w^{\Gamma(w)}$ is soluble, then both G_v and G_w are soluble. Suppose that G_v is insoluble. Let T be an insoluble composition factor of G_v. By Theorem 1.1 (1), T is a composition factor of $G_v^{\Gamma(v)}$ or $G_{vw}^{\Gamma(w)}$. Similarly, if G_w is insoluble, then either $G_w^{\Gamma(w)}$ or $G_{vw}^{\Gamma(v)}$ is insoluble. It implies that if both $G_v^{\Gamma(v)}$ and $G_w^{\Gamma(w)}$ is soluble, then both G_v and G_w are soluble. This proves part (iii).

Similarly, to prove part iv, we need only to prove if both $(G_v^{[1]})^{\Gamma(w)}$ and $(G_w^{[1]})^{\Gamma(v)}$ are soluble then so are $G_v^{[1]}$ and $G_w^{[1]}$. Suppose that $G_v^{[1]}$ is insoluble. Arguing as in the second paragraph with $G_v^{[1]}$ in the place of G_v shows that either $(G_v^{[1]})^{\Gamma(w)}$ or $(G_{vw}^{[1]})^{\Gamma(v)}$ is insoluble. Similarly, if $G_w^{[1]}$ is insoluble then either $(G_w^{[1]})^{\Gamma(v)}$ or $(G_{vw}^{[1]})^{\Gamma(w)}$ is insoluble. It implies that if both $(G_v^{[1]})^{\Gamma(w)}$ and $(G_w^{[1]})^{\Gamma(v)}$ are soluble, then so are $G_v^{[1]}$ and $G_w^{[1]}$. □

The next lemma refines Lemma 3.2.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\{u, w\}$ be an edge with $u \in U$ and $w \in W$, and let*

$$u_0, w_0, \ldots, w_{i-1}, u_i, w_i, u_{i+1}, \ldots$$

be a path such that $u_0 = u$ and $w_0 = w$. Then for $i \geqslant 0$, the following are true:

(i) *$G_{u_0\ldots u_i}^{[1]}/G_{u_0\ldots u_i w_i}^{[1]}$ is isomorphic to a subnormal subgroup of $G_{uw}^{\Gamma(w)}$.*
(ii) *$G_{u_0\ldots w_i}^{[1]}/G_{u_0\ldots w_i u_{i+1}}^{[1]}$ is isomorphic to a subnormal subgroup of $G_{uw}^{\Gamma(u)}$.*

Proof. We notice that

$$G_{u_0\ldots u_i}^{[1]}/G_{u_0\ldots u_i w_i}^{[1]} \cong (G_{u_0\ldots u_i}^{[1]})^{\Gamma(w_i)} \lhd \lhd G_{u_i w_i}^{\Gamma(w_i)} \cong G_{uw}^{\Gamma(w)},$$
$$G_{u_0\ldots w_i}^{[1]}/G_{u_0\ldots w_i u_{i+1}}^{[1]} \cong (G_{u_0\ldots w_i}^{[1]})^{\Gamma(u_{i+1})} \lhd \lhd G_{w_i u_{i+1}}^{\Gamma(u_{i+1})} \cong G_{uw}^{\Gamma(u)}.$$

Then the proof follows. □

4. 2-Transitive permutation groups

The proof of Theorem 1.2 depends on the structural properties of stabilisers of 2-transitive permutation groups. We state the point stabilisers in the following two theorems, refer to [4, Tables 7.3 and 7.4] , and [9].

The *socle* of a group X is the subgroup of X generated by all minimal normal subgroups of X, denoted by $\mathsf{soc}(X)$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let X be an almost simple 2-transitive permutation group on Ω of degree k, and let $T = \mathsf{soc}(X)$ and $\omega, \omega' \in \Omega$. Then $X/T \cong X_\omega/T_\omega \cong X_{\omega\omega}/T_{\omega\omega'} \leqslant \mathcal{O} \leqslant \mathsf{Out}(T)$, and further, they satisfy the following table:*

row	T	T_ω	$T_{\omega\omega'}$	k
1	$\mathrm{A}_n, n \geqslant 5$	A_{n-1}	A_{n-2}	n
2	$\mathrm{PSL}_n(q)$	$q^{n-1}{:}(\mathrm{SL}_{n-1}(q).\frac{q-1}{(n,q-1)})$	$[q^{2(n-2)}]{:}(\mathrm{GL}_{n-2}(q).\frac{q-1}{(n,q-1)})$	$\frac{q^n-1}{q-1}$
3	$\mathrm{Sz}(q), q > 2$	$[q^2]{:}\mathbb{Z}_{q-1}$	$\mathbb{Z}_{q-1}$	q^2+1
4	$\mathrm{Ree}(q), q > 3$	$[q^3]{:}\mathbb{Z}_{q-1}$	$\mathbb{Z}_{q-1}$	q^3+1
5	$\mathrm{PSU}_3(q), q \geqslant 3$	$[q^3]{:}\mathbb{Z}_{(q^2-1)/(3,q+1)}$	$\mathbb{Z}_{(q^2-1)/(3,q+1)}$	q^3+1
6	$\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(2)$,	$\mathrm{P}\Omega^-_{2n}(2).2$	$2^{2n-2}{:}\mathrm{P}\Omega^-_{2n-2}(2).2$	$2^{2n-1}-2^{n-1}$
7	$n \geqslant 3$	$\mathrm{P}\Omega^+_{2n}(2).2$	$2^{2n-2}{:}\mathrm{P}\Omega^+_{2n-2}(2).2$	$2^{2n-1}+2^{n-1}$
8	$\mathrm{PSL}_2(11)$	A_5	S_3	11
9	M_{11}	M_{10}	$3^2{:}\mathrm{Q}_8$	11
10	M_{11}	$\mathrm{PSL}_2(11)$	A_5	12
11	M_{12}	M_{11}	M_{10}	12
12	A_7	$\mathrm{PSL}_2(7)$	A_4	15
13	M_{22}	$\mathrm{PSL}_3(4)$	$2^4{:}\mathrm{A}_5$	22
14	M_{23}	M_{22}	$\mathrm{PSL}_3(4)$	23
15	M_{24}	M_{23}	M_{22}	24
16	$\mathrm{PSL}_2(8)$	D_{18}	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	28
17	HS	$\mathrm{PSU}_3(5).2$	$\mathrm{A}_6.2^2$	176
18	Co_3	McL:2	$\mathrm{PSU}_4(3){:}2$	276

Table 4.1: Almost simple 2-transitive affine permutation groups and their stabilisers

A complete list of finite 2-transitive permutation groups was obtained by Hering, see for example [10]. Here we list their point stabilisers.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $X = \mathbb{Z}_p^e{:}X_\omega$ be an affine 2-transitive permutation group of degree p^e on a set Ω, where $\omega \in \Omega$. Then for a point $\omega' \in \Omega \setminus \{\omega\}$, either*

(1) *$X_\omega \leqslant \Gamma\mathrm{L}_1(p^e) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^e-1}{:}\mathbb{Z}_e$, and $X_{\omega\omega'} \lesssim \mathbb{Z}_e$, or*
(2) *X_ω and $X_{\omega\omega'}$ are as in Table 4.2,*

row	X_ω	$X_{\omega\omega'}$	p^e	
1	$\mathrm{SL}_n(q).\mathcal{O}$	$q^{n-1}{:}\mathrm{GL}_{n-1}(q).\mathcal{O}$	q^n	$\mathcal{O} \leqslant \mathbb{Z}_{q-1}{:}\mathbb{Z}_e$
2	$\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(q).\mathcal{O}$	$q.q^{2n-2}{:}(\mathrm{GL}_1(q) \times \mathrm{Sp}_{2n-2}(q)).\mathcal{O}$	q^{2n}	$\mathcal{O} \leqslant \mathbb{Z}_e$
3	$\mathrm{G}_2(q).\mathcal{O}$	$[q^5]{:}\mathrm{GL}_2(q).\mathcal{O}$	q^6	$\mathcal{O} \leqslant \mathbb{Z}_{q-1}{:}\mathbb{Z}_{(3,q)e}$
4	$\mathrm{Q}_8{:}3,\ \mathrm{Q}_8.6,\ (\mathrm{Q}_8{:}3).4$	$1,\ \mathbb{Z}_2, [4]$	5^2	
5	$\mathrm{Q}_8{:}\mathrm{S}_3,\ 3 \times (\mathrm{Q}_8.2),\ 3 \times (\mathrm{Q}_8{:}\mathrm{S}_3)$	$1,\ 1,\ \mathbb{Z}_3$	7^2	
6	$5 \times (\mathrm{Q}_8{:}3),\ 5 \times (\mathrm{Q}_8{:}\mathrm{S}_3)$	$1,\ \mathbb{Z}_2$	11^2	
7	$11 \times (\mathrm{Q}_8{:}\mathrm{S}_3)$	1	23^2	
8	$2^{1+4}{:}5, 2^{1+4}{:}\mathrm{D}_{10}, 2^{1+4}{:}(5{:}4),$ $2^{1+4}{:}\mathrm{A}_5, 2^{1+4}{:}\mathrm{S}_5$	$2, [4], [8],$ $2.\mathrm{A}_4, 2.\mathrm{S}_4$	3^4	
9	$\mathrm{SL}_2(13)$	$\mathbb{Z}_3$	3^6	
10	A_6	S_4	2^4	
11	A_7	$\mathrm{PSL}_2(7)$	2^4	
12	$\mathrm{PSU}_3(3)$	$4.\mathrm{S}_4,\ 4^2.\mathrm{S}_3$	2^6	
13	$\mathrm{SL}_2(5),\ 5 \times \mathrm{SL}_2(5)$	$1,\ \mathbb{Z}_5$	11^2	
14	$9 \times \mathrm{SL}_2(5)$	$\mathbb{Z}_3$	19^2	
15	$7 \times \mathrm{SL}_2(5),\ 7 \times (4 \circ \mathrm{SL}_2(5))$	$1,\ \mathbb{Z}_2$	29^2	
16	$29 \times \mathrm{SL}_2(5)$	1	59^2	

Table 4.2: Affine 2-transitive groups and their stabilisers

Lemma 4.3. *Let X be a 2-transitive group on Ω of degree k, and take $\omega, \omega' \in \Omega$. Then the stabiliser $X_{\omega\omega'}$ has a transitive representation of degree $k-1$ if and only if $X = \mathrm{A}_7$ or S_7, and $X_{\omega\omega'} = \mathrm{A}_5$ or S_5, respectively.*

Proof. Suppose $X_{\omega\omega'}$ has has a transitive permutation representation of degree $k-1$. Then $k-1$ divides $|X_{\omega\omega'}|$. It is clear that $k-1$ does not divide $|X_{\omega\omega'}|$ for candidates in rows 3 to 5 and 8 to 18 in Table 4.1 and rows 4 to 16 in Table 4.2.

Now we inspect the other candidates given in Tables 4.1 and 4.2. Suppose $\mathsf{soc}(X) = \mathrm{A}_k$ as in row 1 of Table 4.1. Then $X_{\omega\omega'} = \mathrm{A}_{k-2}$ or S_{k-2}. If $X_{\omega\omega'} = \mathrm{A}_{k-2}$ or S_{k-2} has a transitive permutation representation of degree $k-1$, then $k = 7$.

Suppose that $\mathsf{soc}(X) = \mathrm{PSL}_n(q)$, with $k = \frac{q^n-1}{q-1}$ as in row 2 of Table 4.1. Suppose further $X_{\omega\omega'}$ has a transitive permutation representation of degree $k-1 = \frac{q(q^{n-1}-1)}{q-1}$. If $q^{n-1}-1$has a primitive prime divisor r say, then r does not divides the order $|X_{\omega\omega'}| = |[q^{2(n-2)}]{:}(\mathrm{GL}_{n-2}(q).\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{q-1}{(n,q-1)}}).\mathcal{O}|$, which is not possible. Thus $q^{n-1}-1$ has no primitive prime divisor, and $n-1 = 2$ or $(q, n-1) = (2, 6)$ by Zsigmondy Theorem. For the former, $k-1 = q(q+1)$, and $|X_{\omega\omega'}| = q^2(q-1)^2/(3, q-1)$ which is not divisible by $q+1$ So $k-1 = 126$, and $X_{\omega\omega'} = [2^{10}] : GL(5, 2)$. But $X_{\omega\omega'}$ has no transitive permutation representation of degree 126 contradicts to our assumption.

Similarly, let $X = q^n{:}\mathrm{SL}_n(q).\mathcal{O}$ be as in the first row of Table 4.2. If $k-1 = q^n-1$ divides the order of $X_{\omega\omega'} = q^{n-1}{:}\mathrm{GL}_{n-1}(q).\mathcal{O}$, then q^n-1 has no primitive prime divisor. Thus, by Zsigmondy Theorem, $n = 2$ or $(q, n) = (2, 6)$. For the former, $k-1 = q^2-1$, and $|X_{\omega\omega'}| = q(q-1)$ which is not divided by $k-1$. For the latter, $k-1 = 63$, $X_{\omega\omega'} = [2^5] : \mathrm{GL}(4, 2)$ which has no transitive permutation representation of degree 63.

For candidates in rows 6,7 in Table 4.1, and rows 2,3 in Table 4.2, we have the following table:

X_ω	$X_{\omega\omega'}$	$k-1$
$\mathrm{P\Omega}^-_{2n}(2).2.\mathcal{O}$	$2^{n^2-n+1}(2^{n-1}+1)\Pi_{i=1}^{n-2}(2^{2i}-1).\mathcal{O}$	$2^{2n-1}-2^{n-1}$
$\mathrm{P\Omega}^+_{2n}(2).2.\mathcal{O}$	$2^{n^2-n+1}(2^{n-1}-1)\Pi_{i=1}^{n-2}(2^{2i}-1).\mathcal{O}$	$2^{2n-1}+2^{n-1}$
$\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(q).\mathcal{O}$	$q^{n^2}(q-1)\Pi_{i=1}^{n-1}(q^{2i}-1).\mathcal{O}$	$q^{2n}-1$
$\mathrm{G}_2(q).\mathcal{O}$	$q^6(q-1)^2(q+1).\mathcal{O}$	q^6-1

It is easy to see that $k-1$ does not divide the order $|X_{\omega\omega'}|$. So $X_{\omega\omega'}$ has no transitive permutation representation of degree $k-1$. □

5. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Let Γ be a connected locally $(G, 2)$-arc-transitive graph, and let $\{v, w\}$ be an edge of Γ. Assume that $G_v^{[1]} = G_w^{[1]} = 1$. In this section, we will give the proof of Theorem 1.2. We first notice that, since $G_v \cong G_v^{\Gamma(v)}$ and $G_w \cong G_w^{\Gamma(w)}$, the stabilisers G_v, G_w are 2-transitive permutation groups which share common point stabilisers G_{vw}.

To prove this theorem, we will split it into several cases, given in the following few lemmas.

Lemma 5.1. *Suppose G_v and G_w have isomorphic socles. Then $G_v \cong G_w$ and Γ is regular.*

Proof. Since G_v and G_w are 2-arc-transitive groups, we have either they are both affine groups or they are both almost simple groups. Suppose $\mathsf{soc}(G_v) \cong \mathsf{soc}(G_w) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^d$, then

$G_v \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^d{:}G_{vw} \cong G_w$, and Γ is regular of valency p^d. Suppose that G_v and G_w are almost simple and have isomorphic socles. Since they share point stabiliser, we have $G_v \cong G_w$ by checking the candidates in Table 4.1. □

From now on, we assume that G_v and G_w have non-isomorphic socles. We need to search for different 2-transitive groups which have the same point stabiliser, by inspecting the 2-transitive permutation groups, listed in Tables 4.1 and 4.2.

Lemma 5.2. *Suppose both G_v and G_w are soluble. Then*

G_v	$3^2{:}\mathrm{SL}_2(3)$	$3^2{:}\mathrm{GL}_2(3)$	$3^2{:}\mathrm{GL}_2(3)$	$5^2{:}\mathrm{GL}_2(3)$
G_w	$5^2{:}\mathrm{SL}_2(3)$	$5^2{:}\mathrm{GL}_2(3)$	$7^2{:}\mathrm{GL}_2(3)$	$7^2{:}\mathrm{GL}_2(3)$

Table 5.1: Soluble stabilisers

Proof. Suppose that G_v and G_w are soluble. Then by inspecting the 2-transitive permutation groups, listed in Tables 4.1 and 4.2, we have

G_{vw}	$\lvert\Gamma(v)\rvert$
$\leqslant \mathbb{Z}_{p^d-1}{:}\mathbb{Z}_d$	p^d
$\mathrm{GL}_2(2)$	2^2
$\mathrm{SL}_2(3),\ \mathrm{GL}_2(3)$	3^2
$\mathrm{Q}_8{:}3,\ \mathrm{Q}_8.6,\ (\mathrm{Q}_8{:}3).4$	5^2
$\mathrm{Q}_8{:}\mathrm{S}_3,\ 3\times(\mathrm{Q}_8.2),\ 3\times(\mathrm{Q}_8{:}\mathrm{S}_3)$	7^2
$5\times(\mathrm{Q}_8{:}3),\ 5\times(\mathrm{Q}_8{:}\mathrm{S}_3)$	11^2
$11\times(\mathrm{Q}_8{:}\mathrm{S}_3)$	23^2
$2^{1+4}{:}5, 2^{1+4}{:}\mathrm{D}_{10}, 2^{1+4}{:}(5{:}4)$	3^4

Table 5.2

We note that the candidate in the second line, i.e., $G_{vw} = \mathrm{GL}_2(2)$, is the same as the one in the first line with $p^d = 2^2$ and $G_{vw} = \mathbb{Z}_{p^d-1}{:}\mathbb{Z}_2 \cong \mathrm{S}_3$.

Assume first that $G_{vw} \leqslant \mathbb{Z}_{p^d-1}{:}\mathbb{Z}_d$ with $|\Gamma(v)| = p^d$. Then G_{vw} is a split metacyclic group. So G_{vw} can not appear as one of rows 3-8 of Table 5.2.

We thus have that G_{vw} only appears in one of rows 3-8 of Table 5.2. It follows that G_v, G_w are as in Table 5.1. □

Lemma 5.3. *Suppose G_v is insoluble. Then G_{vw} is insoluble.*

Proof. Suppose G_{vw} is soluble. Then one of the candidates in the following table appears:

G_v	G_{vw}	$\lvert\Gamma(v)\rvert$	$\mathcal{O}$	
$\mathrm{A}_5, \mathrm{S}_5$	$\mathrm{A}_4, \mathrm{S}_4$	5		
$\mathrm{PSL}_3(3).\mathcal{O}$	$3^2{:}\mathrm{SL}_2(3).\mathcal{O}$	26		
$\mathrm{PSL}_2(q).\mathcal{O}$	$[q]{:}\mathbb{Z}_{(q-1)/(2,q-1)}.\mathcal{O}$	$q+1$	$\mathcal{O} \leqslant (2, q-1).f$	$q = p^f \geqslant 4$
$\mathrm{Sz}(q).\mathcal{O}$	$[q^2]{:}\mathbb{Z}_{q-1}.\mathcal{O}$	q^2+1	$\mathcal{O} \leqslant f$	$q = 2^f > 2, f$ odd
$\mathrm{Ree}(q).\mathcal{O}$	$[q^3]{:}\mathbb{Z}_{q-1}.\mathcal{O}$	q^3+1	$\mathcal{O} \leqslant f$	$q = 3^f > 3, f$ odd
$\mathrm{PSU}_3(q).\mathcal{O}$	$[q^3]{:}\mathbb{Z}_{(q^2-1)/(3,q+1)}$	q^3+1	$\mathcal{O} \leqslant (3, q+1).f$	$q \geqslant 3$
$\mathrm{P\Gamma L}_2(8)$	9:6	28		

Table 5.3: Soluble edges stabilisers

We note that, in Table 5.2, the candidate in the first line is the same as the one in the second line with $q = 4$ due to $\mathrm{A}_5 \cong \mathrm{PSL}_2(4)$. The rest are mutually non-isomorphic.

Thus G_w should be soluble, appearing in Table 5.1, and we conclude that in this case G_v and G_w do not have isomorphic stabilisers. So G_{vw} is insoluble. □

Lemma 5.4. *Suppose G_{vw} is almost simple. Then (G_v, G_w, G_{vw}) are listed in rows 5 to 14 of Table 1.*

Proof. First, we observe that G_{vw} does not have socle isomorphic to one of the following groups:

$$\mathrm{P}\Omega^-_{2n}(2) \text{ with } n \geqslant 3,\ \mathrm{P}\Omega^+_{2n}(2) \text{ with } n \geqslant 4,\ \mathrm{M}_{11},\ \mathrm{M}_{22},\ \mathrm{M}_{23},\ \mathrm{PSU}_3(5),\ \mathrm{McL},$$
$$\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(q) \text{ with } (n,q) \neq (2,2),\ \mathrm{G}_2(q),\ \mathrm{PSU}_3(3),$$

since each of them appears only one time as the socle of the stabiliser of 2-transitive permutation groups. Similarly, G_{vw} is not M_{10}. This shows that $\mathsf{soc}(G_{vw})$ is an alternating group of a linear group.

Case 1. Let first $\mathsf{soc}(G_{vw}) = \mathrm{A}_m$, where $m \geqslant 5$. Notice that the isomorphisms between A_m and other simple groups:

$$\mathrm{A}_5 \cong \mathrm{PSL}_2(5) \cong \mathrm{PSL}_2(4) \cong \mathrm{P}\Omega^-_4(2),$$
$$\mathrm{A}_6 \cong \mathrm{PSL}_2(9) \cong \mathrm{Sp}_4(2)',$$
$$\mathrm{A}_8 \cong \mathrm{PSL}_4(2) \cong \mathrm{P}\Omega^+_6(2).$$

Now G_w is one of such groups such that $\mathsf{soc}(G_w) \ncong \mathsf{soc}(G_v)$. It implies that $m \leqslant 8$.

Notice that $\mathrm{PSL}_2(4) \cong \mathrm{SL}_2(4)$, $\mathrm{PSL}_4(2) \cong \mathrm{SL}_4(2)$, and $\mathrm{Sp}_4(2) \cong \mathrm{S}_6$, we have G_v and G_w is one of the following groups:

G_v:almost simple	$\mathrm{A}_6, \mathrm{S}_6$	$\mathrm{A}_7, \mathrm{S}_7$	$\mathrm{A}_8, \mathrm{S}_8$	$\mathrm{S}_9, \mathrm{S}_9$	$\mathrm{Sp}_4(2)$	$\mathrm{PSL}_2(11)$
G_{vw}	$\mathrm{A}_5, \mathrm{S}_5$	$\mathrm{A}_6, \mathrm{S}_6$	$\mathrm{A}_7, \mathrm{S}_7$	$\mathrm{A}_8, \mathrm{S}_8$	$\mathrm{P}\Omega^+_6(2)$	A_5

G_v: affine	4^2:$\mathrm{SL}_2(4), 4^2$:$\mathrm{SL}_2(4).2$	2^4:$\mathrm{SL}_4(2)$	2^4:$\mathrm{Sp}_4(2)$,	2^4:A_6	2^4:A_7
G_{vw}	$\mathrm{SL}_2(4), \mathrm{SL}_2(4).2$	$\mathrm{SL}_4(2)$	$\mathrm{Sp}_4(2)$	A_6	A_7

Table 5.4: altnating edge stabilisers

Suppose $m = 5$. Then $G_v, G_w \rhd \mathrm{A}_6, \mathrm{PSL}_2(11), 2^4$:$\mathrm{SL}_2(4)$. It then follows that $\{G_v, G_w\}$ is one of the following four pairs, noticing that $\mathrm{SL}_2(4) \cong \mathrm{A}_5$ and $\mathrm{SL}_2(4).2 \cong \Sigma\mathrm{L}_2(4) \cong \mathrm{S}_5$:

$$\{\mathrm{A}_6, \mathrm{PSL}_2(11)\},\ \{\mathrm{PSL}_2(11), 2^4{:}\mathrm{A}_5\},\ \{\mathrm{A}_6, 2^4{:}\mathrm{A}_5\},\ \text{or}\ \{\mathrm{S}_6, 2^4{:}\mathrm{S}_5\},$$

as listed in rows 5-8 of Table 1.

If $m = 6$, then each of G_v and G_w is conjugate to A_7, S_7 2^4:$\mathrm{Sp}_4(2)$, or 2^4:A_6, and hence $\{G_v, G_w\} = \{\mathrm{A}_7, 2^4{:}\mathrm{A}_6\}$ or $\{\mathrm{S}_7, 2^4{:}\mathrm{S}_6\}$, as in rows 9-10 of Table 1.

If $m = 7$, then $G_v \rhd \mathrm{A}_8$ or 2^4:A_7, and so is G_w. This leads to $\{G_v, G_w\} = \{\mathrm{A}_8, 2^4{:}\mathrm{A}_7\}$, as in row 11 of Table 1.

If $m = 8$, then each of G_v and G_w is A_9, $\mathrm{Sp}_6(2)$, or 2^4:$\mathrm{GL}_4(2)$, leading to $\{G_v, G_w\} = \{\mathrm{A}_9, 2^4{:}\mathrm{A}_8\}$ or $\{\mathrm{S}_9, \mathrm{Sp}_6(2)\}$, giving in rows 12-13 of Table 1.

Case 2. Assume that $\mathsf{soc}(G_{vw})$ is a linear group which is not isomorphic to A_m with $m \geqslant 5$. We notice that $\mathrm{PSL}_2(7) \cong \mathrm{SL}_3(2) \cong \mathrm{GL}_3(2)$. Then $\mathsf{soc}(G_{vw}) = \mathrm{PSL}_2(7)$, and $G_v, G_w \rhd \mathrm{A}_7$ or 2^3:$\mathrm{GL}_3(2)$. Therefore, we conclude that $\{G_v, G_w\} = \{\mathrm{A}_7, 2^3{:}\mathrm{GL}_3(2)\}$, which is listed in row 14 of Table 1. □

Lemma 5.5. *Assume G_{vw} is insoluble but not almost simple. Then (G_v, G_w, G_{vw}) are listed in rows 15 and 16 of Table 1.*

Proof. Assume G_{vw} is insoluble but not almost simple. All the possibilities of G_{vw} are listed in the following table.

G_{vw}	$\mathsf{soc}(G_v)$
$q^n{:}\mathrm{SL}_n(q).\mathcal{O}$	$\mathrm{PSL}_{n+1}(q)$
$\mathrm{SL}_n(q).\mathcal{O}$	q^n
$\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(q).\mathcal{O}$	q^{2n}
$2^{1+4}{:}\mathrm{A}_5, 2^{1+4}{:}\mathrm{S}_5$	2^4
$\mathrm{SL}_2(13)$	3^6
$\mathrm{SL}_2(5),\ 5\times\mathrm{SL}_2(5)$	11^2
$9\times\mathrm{SL}_2(5)$	19^2
$7\times\mathrm{SL}_2(5),\ 7\times(4\circ\mathrm{SL}_2(5))$	29^2
$29\times\mathrm{SL}_2(5)$	59^2

The candidate in the first line or the third line is not isomorphic to any other one in the table. This leads to the following possibilities:

$$\{G_v, G_w\} = \{5^2{:}\mathrm{SL}_2(5), 11^2{:}\mathrm{SL}_2(5)\},\ \text{or}\ \{13^2{:}\mathrm{SL}_2(13), 3^6{:}\mathrm{SL}_2(13)\}.$$

These are listed in rows 15-16 of Table 1. □

Proof of Theorem 1: By assumption, $G_v^{[1]} = G_w^{[1]} = 1$, so $G_v \cong G_v^{\Gamma(v)}$, $G_w \cong G_w^{\Gamma(w)}$, and the stabilisers G_v, G_w are 2-transitive permutation groups which share common point stabilisers G_{vw}. Suppose first that G_v and G_w have isomorphic soles. Then by Lemma 5.1, $G_v \cong G_w$ and Γ is regular.

Now suppose G_v and G_w have non-isomorphic socles. Suppose further that both of them are soluble. Then by Lemma 5.2, (G_v, G_w, G_{vw}) are listed in the first four rows of Table 1. Suppose G_v is insoluble. Then by Lemma 5.3, G_{vw} is insoluble. Thus by Lemmas 5.4 and 5.5, (G_v, G_w, G_{vw}) are listed in rows 5 to 16 of Table 1. Thus the theorem holds. □

Proof of Corollary 1.4: We first notice that Γ is locally $(G, 3)$-arc-transitive if and only if G_{w_1vw} and G_{vwv_1} are transitive on $[G_{w_{-1}vw} : G_{w_{-1}vwv_1}]$ and $[G_{vwv_1} : G_{w_{-1}vwv_1}]$, respectively. This is equivalent to $|G_{w_{-1}vw} : G_{w_{-1}vwv_1}| = |\Gamma(w)| - 1$ and $|G_{vwv_1} : G_{w_{-1}vwv_1}| = |\Gamma(v)| - 1$.

Suppose first that Γ is a regular graph. Then the 2-arc stabiliser G_{vwv_1} is transitive on $\Gamma(v) \setminus \{w\}$. Observe that $G_{vwv_1} = (G_w)_{vv_1}$ is the stabiliser of the two points $v, v_1 \in \Gamma(w)$ in the 2-transitive permutation group G_w. By Lemma 4.3, we conclude that $(G_v, G_w, G_{vw}) = (\mathrm{A}_7, \mathrm{A}_7, \mathrm{A}_6)$, or $(\mathrm{S}_7, \mathrm{S}_7, \mathrm{S}_6)$.

Now assume that Γ is not a regular graph. We need analyse the triple of stabilisers (G_v, G_w, G_{vw}) listed in Table 1. We notice that, for each of the candidates in rows 1-8 and 14-16 of Table 1, the order $|G_{vwv_1}|$ is not divisible by $|\Gamma(v)| - 1$. Therefore, we conclude that (G_v, G_w, G_{vw}) can only be one of $(\mathrm{A}_7, 2^4{:}\mathrm{A}_6, \mathrm{A}_6)$, $(\mathrm{S}_7, 2^4{:}\mathrm{S}_6, \mathrm{S}_6)$, $(\mathrm{A}_8, 2^4{:}\mathrm{A}_7, \mathrm{A}_7)$, $(\mathrm{A}_9, 2^4{:}\mathrm{A}_8, \mathrm{A}_8)$, or $(\mathrm{S}_9, \mathrm{Sp}_6(2), \mathrm{S}_8)$.

For the first candidate $(G_v, G_w, G_{vw}) = (\mathrm{A}_7, 2^4{:}\mathrm{A}_6, \mathrm{A}_6)$, the intersection $G_{w_{-1}vwv_1}$ of $G_{w_{-1}vw} = \mathrm{A}_5$ and $G_{vwv_1} = \mathrm{S}_4$ is a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_2^2$. Since $|G_{w_{-1}vw} : G_{w_{-1}vwv_1}| \leqslant |\Gamma(w)| - 1 = 15$ and $|G_{vwv_1} : G_{w_{-1}vwv_1}| = |\Gamma(v)| - 1 = 6$. We thus have

$$G_{w_{-1}vwv_1} = G_{w_{-1}vw} \cap G_{vwv_1} = \mathrm{A}_5 \cap \mathrm{S}_4 = \mathbb{Z}_2^2.$$

Therefore, $|G_{w_{-1}vw} : G_{w_{-1}vwv_1}| = 15 = |\Gamma(w)|-1$ and $|G_{vwv_1} : G_{w_{-1}vwv_1}| = 6 = |\Gamma(v)|-1$, and Γ is locally $(G,3)$-arc-transitive. Similarly, $(G_v, G_w, G_{vw}) = (\mathrm{S}_7, 2^4{:}\mathrm{S}_6, \mathrm{S}_6)$ is an amalgam for locally $(G,3)$-arc-transitive graph.

For the case $(G_v, G_w, G_{vw}) = (\mathrm{A}_8, 2^4{:}\mathrm{A}_7, \mathrm{A}_7)$, we have

$$G_{w_{-1}vw} = \mathrm{A}_6,\ G_{vwv_1} = \mathrm{PSL}_2(7).$$

Since $|\Gamma(v)| - 1 = 8 - 1 = 7$, the stabiliser $G_{w_{-1}vwv_1}$ is of index at most 7 in $G_{vwv_1} = \mathrm{PSL}_2(7)$. It implies that $|G_{vwv_1} : G_{w_{-1}vwv_1}| = 7$, and $G_{w_{-1}vwv_1} = \mathrm{S}_4$. Then the index $|G_{w_{-1}vw} : G_{w_{-1}vwv_1}| = |\mathrm{A}_6 : \mathrm{S}_4| = 15 = |\Gamma(w)| - 1$. We conclude that $G_{w_{-1}vw}$ is transitive on $\Gamma(w) \setminus \{v\}$, and G_{vwv_1} is transitive on $\Gamma(v) \setminus \{w\}$. Therefore, Γ is locally $(G,3)$-arc-transitive.

For the triple $(G_v, G_w, G_{vw}) = (\mathrm{A}_9, 2^4{:}\mathrm{A}_8, \mathrm{A}_8)$, the index of $G_{w_{-1}vwv_1}$ in $G_{w_{-1}vw} = \mathrm{A}_7$ is at most $|\Gamma(w)| - 1 = 15$ and in $G_{vwv_1} = 2^3{:}\mathrm{GL}_3(2)$ is at most $|\Gamma(v)| - 1 = 8$. It follows that $G_{w_{-1}vwv_1} = \mathrm{GL}_3(2)$, and so $|G_{w_{-1}vw} : G_{w_{-1}vwv_1}| = |\Gamma(v)| - 1$ and $|G_{vwv_1} : G_{w_{-1}vwv_1}| = |\Gamma(w)| - 1$. Therefore, $(\mathrm{A}_9, 2^4{:}\mathrm{A}_8, \mathrm{A}_8)$ is a locally 3-arc-transitive amalgam.

For the case $(G_v, G_w, G_{vw}) = (\mathrm{S}_9, \mathrm{Sp}_6(2), \mathrm{S}_8)$, we have $G_{w_{-1}vw} = \mathrm{S}_7$, $G_{vwv_1} = (\mathrm{S}_4 \times \mathrm{S}_4).2$. The intersection $G_{w_{-1}vwv_1}$ of $G_{w_{-1}vw}$ and G_{vwv_1} is $\mathrm{S}_4 \times \mathrm{S}_3$ with index 8 in G_{vwv_1} and index 35 in $G_{w_{-1}vw}$. Moreover, $|\Gamma(v)| - 1 = 9 - 1 = 8$ and $\Gamma(w)| - 1 = 35$. We conclude that $G_{w_{-1}vw}$ is transitive on $\Gamma(w) \setminus \{v\}$, and G_{vwv_1} is transitive on $\Gamma(v) \setminus \{w\}$. Therefore, Γ is locally $(G,3)$-arc-transitive. □

References

[1] J. Bamberg, C. H. Li and E. Stwarze, Anti-flag transitive generalized quadrangles, submitted.

[2] J. van Bon, Thompson-Wielandt-like theorems revisited, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **35** (2003), 30-36.

[3] J. van Bon, On locally s-arc transitive graphs with trivial edge kernel *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, **43(4)** (2011), 799-804.

[4] P. Cameron, *Permutation Groups*, London Math. Soc., Student Texts **45**, (Cambridge Univ. Press, 1999).

[5] J. H. Conway, R. T. Curtis, S. P. Norton, R. A. Parker and R. A. Wilson, *Atlas of Finite Groups*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1985.

[6] Wen Wen Fan, D. Leemans, C. H. Li, and J. M. Pan, Locally 2-arc-transitive complete bipartite graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **120** (2013), no. 3, 683-699.

[7] M. Giudici, C. H. Li and C. E. Praeger, Analysing locally s-arc-transitive graphs, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **356** (2004), 291-317.

[8] D. Leemans, Locally s-arc-transitive graphs related to sporadic simple groups J. Algebra **322** (2009), 882-892.

[9] C. H. Li, A. Seress,and S. J. Song, s-Arc-transitive graphs and normal subgroups. *J. Algebra* **421** (2015), 331-348.

[10] M. Liebeck, The affine permutation groups of rank three, *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)* **54** (9187), 477-516.

[11] Luke Morgan, Pablo Spiga and Gabriel Verret, On the order of Borel subgroups of group amalgams and an application to locally-transitive graphs, *J. Algebra* **434** 138-152 (2015).

[12] P. Potočnik, Locally arc-transitive graphs of valence 3,4 with trivial edge kernel, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **38** (2013), 637-651.

[13] V. I. Trofimov, Graphs with projective suborbits. Exceptional cases of characteristic 2. I, *Izvestiya Mathematics* **62**(1998), 1221-1279.

[14] R. Weiss, The nonexistence of 8-transitive graphs, *Combinatorica* **1** (1981), 309-311.

[15] H. Wielandt, *Finite Permutation Groups*, (Academic Press, New York, 1964).

School of Mathematics and Statistics, The University of Western Australia, Crawley, WA 6009, Australia

E-mail address: shu-jiao.song@uwa.edu.au